

*Paragraph 6605 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the Earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

AEA NY E5 Penn Yan, NY [Revised]

Penn Yan Airport, NY  
(Lat. 42°38'20" N, long. 77°03'14" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10.5-mile radius of Penn Yan Airport, excluding that portion within the Romulus, NY, Class E airspace area.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Jamaica, New York, on October 3, 1996.

John S. Walker,

Manager, Air Traffic Division, Eastern Region

[FR Doc. 96-27183 Filed 10-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 101

[Docket Nos. 96N-0244 and 94P-0444]

#### Food Labeling; Declaration of Free Glutamate in Food; Correction

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Advance notice of proposed rulemaking; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is correcting an advance notice of proposed rulemaking that appeared in the Federal Register of September 12, 1996 (61 FR 48102). The document announced FDA's consideration of establishing requirements for label information about the free glutamate content of foods. The document was published with some errors. This document corrects those errors.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Felicia B. Satchell, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-158), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-205-5099.

In FR Doc. 96-23159, appearing on page 48102 in the Federal Register of Thursday, September 12, 1996, the following corrections are made:

1. On page 48102, in the third column, "[Docket No. 96N-0244]" is corrected to read "[Docket Nos. 96N-0244 and 94P-0444]".

2. On page 48109, in the first column, in the 20th line from the bottom, "(.032g)" is corrected to read "(.032g/100g)" and "(.047g)" is corrected to read "(.047g/100g)".

Dated: October 17, 1996.

William K. Hubbard,

Associate Commissioner for Policy Coordination.

[FR Doc. 96-27201 Filed 10-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

### 44 CFR Part 206

RIN 3067-AC56

#### Disaster Assistance; Appeals Procedures

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) is changing the procedures for the review and disposition of appeals related to Public Assistance grants. The rule is intended to simplify the administrative process and reduce delays in reaching a final resolution of an appeal.

**DATES:** We invite comments on this proposed rule and will accept comments until December 23, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Please send written comments to the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the General Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency, room 840, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (facsimile) (202) 646-4536.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mira Kuic, Program Specialist, Engineering Branch, Infrastructure Support Division, Federal Emergency Management Agency, room 713, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-4687.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5121 *et seq.* (Stafford Act), any decision regarding eligibility or amount of assistance may be appealed. Before this proposed rule, FEMA allowed three appeal levels. The following Federal officials were designated to receive and consider first, second, and third level appeals, respectively: Regional Director, Associate Director, and Director.

This proposed rule reduces, from three to two, the number of appeal requests allowed to be submitted by an applicant. If a first appeal request is denied by the Regional Director, in lieu of submitting a second appeal to the Associate Director, an applicant may submit a second appeal to the Director. The Director's decision is considered final. No changes are being made in the time frames for submittal, notification and disposition of appeals.

The intent of this change is to reduce the significant amount of time (and associated costs) dedicated to the review and disposition of repetitive appeal issues. FEMA has found that very little, if any, new information is submitted with third appeals. A third appeal response typically confirms an existing FEMA policy or clarifies the regulations as applied to specific projects. Therefore, reducing the number of submittals at the Headquarters level would avoid repetitive reviews of the same decisions and issues. This change will eliminate approximately one third of the total time required for the entire appeals process and will provide applicants with a final resolution sooner than previously. All provisions for fair and impartial consideration as required by the Stafford Act are maintained.

*National Environmental Policy Act.*

This proposed rule is categorically excluded from the preparation of environmental impact statements and environmental assessments as an administrative action in support of normal day-to-day grant activities. No environmental impact statement or environmental assessment has been prepared.

*Regulatory Flexibility Act.* The Director certifies that this rule is not a major rule under Executive Order 12291, and will not have significant impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, and is not expected (1) to adversely affect the availability of disaster assistance funding to small entities, (2) to have significant secondary or incidental effects on a substantial number of small entities, nor (3) to create any additional burden on small entities. Hence no regulatory impact analysis has been prepared.

*Paperwork Reduction Act.* This proposed rule does not involve any collection of information for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

*Executive Order 12612, Federalism.* In publishing this proposed rule, FEMA has considered the President's Executive Order 12612 on Federalism. This proposed rule makes no changes in the division of governmental responsibilities between the Federal government and the States. Grant administration procedures in accordance with 44 CFR part 13, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments, remain the same. No Federalism assessment has been prepared.

*Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform.* The rule meets the applicable